



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/660,985	09/13/2000	Gerardo Roldan	KLUNE-54799	7953	
24201	7590 10/21/2	03	EXAM	EXAMINER	
FULWIDE	R PATTON LEE &	JONES, D	JONES, DAVID B		
HOWARD I	HUGHES CENTER				
6060 CENT	ER DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TENTH FLO	OOR	3725			
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90045	DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)		
· ·	09/66	0,985	Roldan		
Office Action Sum	mary Exam	iner	Art Unit		
	David	B. Jones	3725		
- The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication appears on	the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under to after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. - If the period for reply specified above is less. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period of the period of the period for reply in the set of extended period of the perio	communication. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In not of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply within the empty are maximum statutory period will apply an entod for reply will, by statute, cause the cause months after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	-ti(-) flad on				
1) Responsive to communic		a is non final			
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> is/are pendi	ing in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>r</u>	none is/are withdrawn from o	consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allow					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7, 8, 10-29, 31, 32, 34-53, and 55-74</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,9,30,33 and 54</u>	is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject	t to restriction and/or election	n requirement.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objecte	d to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request t	hat any objection to the drawir	g(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing corre	ection filed on is: a)[] approved b)∏ disap	proved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected draw	ings are required in reply to thi	s Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is o	bjected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	d 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	None of:				
1. Certified copies of the	ne priority documents have I	peen received.			
2. Certified copies of the	ne priority documents have t	peen received in Applic	cation No		
	ed copies of the priority docu the International Bureau (Poffice action for a list of the c	CT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of		•			
a) The translation of the f	·				
15) Acknowledgment is made o		• •			
Attachment(s)		_			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F	•	·	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10-13, 16-29, 31, 32, 34-37, 40-53, 55, 56, 58-61, 64, 65, and 70-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by IT 463,541. It '541 teaches the claimed invention including a flat blank 8, a form-shaping element or die 6, and enclosing enabling element 9 which enclosed the forming area 7 of the form shaping element 6, and a flexible member "A" (Fig. 1) which expands and "moves" within the area 10 between the members 6 and 9, and finally an expansion enabling element 12, i.e., a valve and pump arrangement. Regarding claims 2 and 26, the flexible member "A" is attached to the member 9 at the connection to the tube 11. Regarding claim 7, 31, 55, and 65; it is inherent that the pressurized system uses a pump to provide for it pressure which is well known in the art. Regarding claims 10, 34, 58, 59, 60, 70, 71, and 74; the limitations "substantially large" and "substantially high pressure" are relative terms and fail to denote any particular structure over that of the prior art. Further the limitations on the article in claims 10 and 34 are not on the apparatus that is being claimed. Hence in apparatus claims the workpiece to be deformed and its parameters are given little or no patentable weight. The previous treatment of claims 10 and 34, applies to claims 11, 12, 18-23, 35, 36, and 42-47; the workpiece parameters in an

Art Unit: 3725

. 1

apparatus claim are given little if any patentable weight. Regarding claims 17 and 41, see page 2, column 1, lines 30 and 31, where IT '541 states that gas or liquid can be used to within the bladder for expansion. Regarding claims 24, 48, and 72; the tube 11 of IT '541 is inherently flexible and would have to move or flex when moving the enclosing element 9 toward and away from die 6 by way of member 4/5.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14, 15, 38, 39, 57, 62, 63, and 66-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over IT 463,541. Regarding claims 14, 15, 38, 39, 62, and 63, IT '541 teaches the claimed invention as treated supra excepting the particulars of the material of the bladder "A". Both rubber and polyurethane are well known expedients used in deforming bladders and are well known to the artisan of ordinary skill in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the bladder "A" out of well known bladder making expedients such as rubber and polyurethane to provide for a tough and readily expandable bladder, such a provision being an obvious choice of known bladder making expedients. Regarding claim 57, to have multiplied the teaching of IT '541 so as to operate on a plurality of workpieces or to work plural areas on a workpiece would have been but an obvious choice of tooling design and obvious duplicative modification of the teaching of IT '541, without rendering no new or unobvious result. Regarding claim 66, the particular type of

Art Unit: 3725

metal plate being deformed would have been obvious to the skilled artisan and would have been chosen dependant upon the desired product. Aluminum being a notoriously well-known material used in hydroforming and the making of articles and products, such a choice being but an obvious choice of workpiece materials. Further regarding claims 67-69; the particular product to be made is but an obvious choice of design and would have been to the artisan of ordinary skill but a design choice of known products.

- 3. Claims 6, 9, 30, 33, and 54 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/31/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As set forth in the detailed action above, IT '541 teaches element for element the claimed structure and method set forth. Contrary to Applicant's remarks of 7/31/2003, IT '541 does teach interengageable dies 6 and 9, substantially large dies (relative limitation), and unheated dies. The claims do not set forth the limitation of a plurality of shaped portions of different radii.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3725

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to David B. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-1887.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

In the event that the Applicant (s) wishes to communicate via Fax number for Group 3700 is (703) 305-3579.

wahp

PAVID B. JONES
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3725

Page 5